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Abstract. $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{HgI}_{2}\right]_{2}$, triclinic, yellow crystals, $P \overline{1}, a=10.786$ (2), $b=11.412$ (2), $c=$ 11.016 (2) $\AA, \alpha=116.01$ (2), $\beta=104.93$ (2), $\gamma=$ $93.60(2)^{\circ}, Z=1, D_{m}=2.27$ [determined by flotation in a 1,2-dibromoethane/dibromomethane mixture (3:1)], $D_{x}=2.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$; prepared by reacting $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$ with triphenylphosphoniumcyclopentadienylide in tetrahydrofuran. The compound is an iodine-bridged dimer $[\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}$ (bridge), 2.982 (1), 2.937 (1) $\AA ; \mathrm{Hg}-$ I(external), $2 \cdot 681$ (1) $\AA$ ] with a $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$ bond at $\mathrm{C}(3)$ of the cyclopentadienylide. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ distance [ 1.748 (7) $\AA$ ] is longer than that in the unsubstituted ylide $[1.718$ (3) $\AA]$.

Introduction. The crystal structure was investigated because NMR solution data did not unequivocally differentiate between a $\sigma$ and $\pi$ type of complex. The solution NMR data could best be interpreted as a fluxional $\sigma$-type complex with the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}$ bond having roughly equal probability of being at $\mathrm{C}(2)$ or $\mathrm{C}(3)$ (Holy, Baenziger, Flynn \& Swenson, 1976). 8532 reflections were measured ( $\sin \theta / \lambda_{\text {max }} \leq 0.481 \AA^{-1}$ ) using graphite-monochromatized Mo $K$ a radiation ( $\lambda=$ $0.7107 \AA$ ) with an automated FACS-I diffractometer, yielding the 4052 independent reflections ( $3571>3 \sigma$ ) which were used in the least-squares refinement. A stepped $\theta-2 \theta$ scan data-collection method was used (Baenziger, Foster, Howells, Howells, Vander Valk \& Burton, 1977) with a step size varying from $0.08^{\circ}$ to $0.12^{\circ}(2 \theta)$ and background measured at $\pm 1 \cdot 2^{\circ}(2 \theta)$ offset. The data were corrected for absorption (Templeton \& Templeton, 1973) since $\mu=88.73 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the crystal dimensions are approximately $0.24 \times 0.24$ $\times 0.36 \mathrm{~mm}$. The maximum and minimum correction factors were 4.50 and 2.59 (average $=3.23$ ). The structure was found from Patterson and electron density maps. Full-matrix least-squares refinement of a model with 154 parameters ( $\mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{P}$ and C atoms of the cyclopentadiene ring with anisotropic thermal parameters, individual isotropic temperature factors for C atoms of the phenyl rings) gave $R_{1}=\sum| | F_{o} \mid-$ $\left|F_{c}\right| \mid \sum F_{o}=0.060, R_{2}=\left[\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} / \sum w F_{o}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ $=0.052$. Atom scattering factors used for $\mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{P}$, and C were from International Tables for X-ray Crystallog-

Table 1. Atom parameters for the title compound $\left(\times 10^{5}\right.$ for $\mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{P} ; \times 10^{4}$ for $\mathrm{C} ; \times 10^{3}$ for H )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hg | 2313 (4)* | 13362 (4) | 20388 (4) |
| I(1) | 86016 (5) | 9853 (6) | 92211 (6) |
| I(2) | 87254 (6) | 8698 (6) | 34355 (6) |
| P | 35100 (18) | 45210 (19) | 67461 (20) |
| C(1) | 2594 (7) | 4175 (7) | 5025 (7) |
| C(2) | 2690 (7) | 3186 (8) | 3782 (8) |
| C(3) | 1708 (8) | 3185 (9) | 2629 (8) |
| C(4) | 1024 (8) | 4221 (9) | 3224 (9) |
| C(5) | 1518 (7) | 4815 (8) | 4676 (8) |
| C(11) | 4641 (7) | 3416 (7) | 6619 (8) |
| C(12) | 5681 (8) | 3602 (9) | 6208 (9) |
| C(13) | 6560 (8) | 2744 (9) | 6056 (9) |
| C(14) | 6365 (9) | 1693 (10) | 6359 (10) |
| C(15) | 5350 (9) | 1520 (10) | 6810 (10) |
| C(16) | 4449 (8) | 2344 (9) | 6925 (9) |
| C(21) | 2467 (8) | 4330 (8) | 7698 (8) |
| C(22) | 1478 (7) | 3171 (8) | 7032 (8) |
| C(23) | 736 (9) | 2961 (10) | 7744 (10) |
| C(24) | 902 (8) | 3880 (9) | 9179 (9) |
| C(25) | 1845 (8) | 5026 (9) | 9843 (9) |
| C(26) | 2615 (7) | 5256 (8) | 9094 (8) |
| C(31) | 5502 (7) | 3819 (7) | 2247 (7) |
| C(32) | 4473 (8) | 3557 (9) | 1052 (9) |
| C(33) | 3738 (8) | 2254 (9) | 214 (9) |
| C(34) | 4026 (9) | 1280 (9) | 605 (9) |
| C(35) | 5041 (8) | 1565 (9) | 1762 (9) |
| C(36) | 5838 (8) | 2818 (8) | 2567 (8) |
| H(2) | 330 | 261 | 370 |
| H(3) | 211 | 332 | 199 |
| H(4) | 34 | 446 | 269 |
| H(5) | 122 | 551 | 534 |
| H(12) | 582 | 433 | 602 |
| H(13) | 728 | 287 | 575 |
| H(14) | 695 | 109 | 625 |
| H(15) | 525 | 82 | 705 |
| H(16) | 371 | 220 | 721 |
| H(22) | 134 | 254 | 607 |
| H(23) | 8 | 217 | 727 |
| H(24) | 38 | 371 | 968 |
| H(25) | 197 | 566 | 81 |
| H(26) | 325 | 606 | 955 |
| H(32) | 427 | 424 | 80 |
| H(33) | 304 | 204 | 938 |
| H(34) | 350 | 41 | 5 |
| H(35) | 520 | 90 | 204 |
| H(36) | 661 | 298 | 332 |

[^0]Table 2. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 2.982 (1) | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}(1)^{\prime}$ | 93.76 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}(1)^{\prime}$ | 2.937 (1) | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 110.99 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 2.681 (1) | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 97.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 2.292 (8) | $\mathrm{I}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 107.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.375 (10) | $\mathrm{I}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 109.40 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.429 (10) | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 131.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.432 (12) | $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}^{\prime}$ | 86.23 (2) |
| C(4)-C(5) | 1.367 (11) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.467 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 109.0 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}$ | 1.748 (7) | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 125.5 (6) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.796 (8) | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 125.2 (6) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.786 (8) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.803 (7) | Sum | 359.7 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 107.3 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.351 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 107.7 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.420 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}$ | 98.7 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.392 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}$ | 101.2 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.385 (13) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.397 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 109.1 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.350 (13) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $106 \cdot 8$ (7) |
|  | 1.382 [27]* |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.412 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 108.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.390 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 110.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.334 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $112 \cdot 2$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 1.390 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 110.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.416 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 105.9 (3) |
| C(25)-C(24) | 1.375 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 108.7 (3) |
|  | 1.386 [30]* |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 1.379 (10) | Average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles in phenyl rings |  |
| C(31)-C(32) | 1.383 (10) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 1.401 (11) | Ring 1 Ring 2 Ring 3 | $120 \cdot 0$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 1.383 (11) |  | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 1.384 (11) |  | 119.9 |
| C(35)-C(34) | 1.342 (11) |  |  |
|  | $1.379[23]^{*}$ |  |  |
| Equations of planes: $\dagger u X+v Y+w Z=d$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5)$ |  | 6479 0.7613-0.00 | 2762.2573 |
| [ $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ ]-(plane normal): $13.3^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
| Deviations of atoms from plane ( $\AA$ ) |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & C(1), 0.01 ; C(2), 0.00 ; C(3),-0.01 ; C(4),-0.01 ; C(5),-0.01 ; \\ & P,-0.09 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Dihedral angle

$$
\mathrm{Cp} \text { ring/(Hg-1) })_{2} \text { square: } 8.1^{\circ}
$$

* Values in square brackets are: $\left[\frac{\sum(X-m)^{2}}{n-1}\right]^{1 / 2}$, where $X$ is the bond distance and $m$ the estimate of the mean bond distance.
$\dagger$ The unit vectors are chosen with $\mathbf{i}\|\mathbf{a} ; \mathbf{k}\| \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$.
raphy (1962) and that for H from Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965). Atomic positions are given in Table 1. Bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2.* H atoms were placed at $0.95 \AA$ from the C atoms and were not
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Fig. 1. An ORTEP plot (Johnson, 1965) of the title compound. Thermal ellipsoids represent the $50 \%$ probability level. Only the C atoms attached to P are shown for the phenyl groups.
refined. They were assigned the thermal parameters of the atoms to which they are attached. Weights in the least-squares refinement were $1 / S_{F}^{2}$, where $S_{F}$ is the estimate of the standard deviation of $F_{\text {ave }}$. The standard deviation of $F_{\text {ave }}$ was estimated both from counting statistics and from the agreement among equivalent reflections. The largest estimate was taken as $S_{F}$. An ORTEP plot of the molecule is shown in Fig. 1.

Discussion. In the solid state, the $\sigma$ bond is localized at $\mathrm{C}(3)$. The $\mathrm{C}(3)$ atom has tetrahedral coordination with the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ distance 2.292 (8) $\AA$. The ylide carbon atom, C(1), has triangular-planar coordination, and the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ bond, 1.748 (7) $\AA$, is $0.030 \AA$ longer than that observed in the unsubstituted ylide (Ammon, Wheeler \& Watts, 1973). The cyclopentadiene C-C distances imply double bonds located at $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$, and they appear to be shorter (but not significantly so) than the double-bond distances in the unsubstituted ylide $[1.375$ (10) and 1.367 (11) $\AA v s$ 1.392 (4) and 1.376 (4) $\AA$ respectively]. Although the presence of the heavy scatterers prevents very precise location of the C atoms, it does appear that substitution of the ylide reduces the double-bond character of the ylide $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond and changes the location of the double bond from $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ to $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$.
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[^0]:    * Values in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations in the last given place.

[^1]:    * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 33439 ( 35 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

